

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria. Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	]	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/693,458		10/27/2003	Brian E. Joseph	07620001C1	2930
48642	7590	07/15/2005		EXAMINER	
PHILIP DO	UGLAS	LANE	BAHTA, ABRAHAM		
P.O. BOX 651295 POTOMAC FALLS, VA 20165-1295				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
TOTOMAC	TALLO,	VII 20103 1275		1744	
				DATE MAIL ED: 07/15/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/693,458	JOSEPH, BRIAN E.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Abraham Bahta	1744					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) datiod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed  ays will be considered timely.  In the mailing date of this communication.  IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1:	<u> 3 April 2005</u> .						
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ T	his action is non-final.						
· — · · · ·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>16,17,19 and 20</u> is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.	Claim(s) 16,17,19 and 20 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 16,17,19 and 20 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>27 October 2003</u> is/s	The drawing(s) filed on <u>27 October 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  1) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
11) I he oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached Offic	e Action or form P1O-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		,					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summal Paper No(s)/Mail I						
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>		Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/693,458

Art Unit: 1744

e - 265 ·

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Specification

The status of the US serial numbers listed on page 1, line 3-5, page 5, lines 69, page 6, lines 1 and 14, page 15, lines 17-20, page 17, lines 15-18 should be updated.

## Double Patenting

Claims 16-17 and 19-20 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 3, 11 and 23 of U.S. Patent No. 6,689,470. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the limitations of claims 16-18 of the instantly claimed invention such that the a carbon (coal-based cellular) foam having a thermal conductivity below about 1 W/m degree K and a density ranging from about 0.1 to about 0.8 g/cmc are encompassed in the claims of the patent.

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Stiller (USP 6,506,354) teaches a process of making a carbon

Art Unit: 1744

foam wherein the process comprises de-ashing and hydrogenating bituminous coal, separating asphaltenes from oils contained in the coke precursor, coking the material to create the carbon foam; however, Stiller does not teach a thermal protection system comprising an insulating core comprising carbon foam having a thermal conductivity below 1 W/m  $^{0}$  K.

#### Response to Applicant's Remarks/Arguments

Applicant requested that the double patenting rejection be held in abeyance until the claims are deemed to be allowable. This rejection is maintained herein.

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** See MPEP 706.07(a). Applicant is remained of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/693,458

Art Unit: 1744

Page 4

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Abraham Bahta whose telephone number is (571) 272-

1532. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday; 11:30 am - 8:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, John Kim can be reached on (571) 272-1142. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

A. Bahta 07/08/05

DEBORAH JONES

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER